SINGLE BENCH (4)

(1) CF202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

W. P. (S) No. 78 / 2007

PETITIONERS

1. Beniram Tandon, S/o. Shri Darash Ram Tandon, aged about 48 years, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Middle School – Sumedha, Block – Katghora, Distt. – Korba (C.G.)
2. Govind Prasad Soni, S/o. Shri Ishwar Prasad Soni, aged about 46 years, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Middle School – Sumedha Block – Katghora, Distt. – Korba (C.G.)

P.R. No. 78 9/06 A.S. Rajput
Presented on dated 22/12/06

RECEIVED-COPY
Baldu 27/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENT'S

1. State of Chhattisgrh, Through – The Secretary Department of Education D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. District Education Officer, Distt. – Korba (C.G.)
3. Assistant Commissioner Tribal Welfare Department Distt.- Korba (C.G.)
4. Block Education Officer, Block – Katghora, Distt. – Korba (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG-2073/96 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh 27/12/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF SUITABLE WRIT, WRITS, DIRECTION ETC. TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

(28)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 78 of 2007

Petitioners : Beniram Tandon and another

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 79 of 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Usha Kaushal (Now Bhanu)

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 81 of 2007

Petitioner : Devnath Pal

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 82 of 2007

Petitioner : Digeshwar Lal Gopanti

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Present: Mr. A.S. Rajput, counsel for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 16th day of January, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts of the present writ petitions are similar to that of in W. P. No. 1463/2006 (Aeman Jain vs. The State Government of Chhattisgarh & another), which was

(29)

disposed of vide order dated 10.11.2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties these writ petitions are disposed of terms of the order dated 10.11.2006 passed by this Court in 1463/2006 (Aeman Jain vs. The State Government of Chhattisgarh & another). No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash